# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Xiao-Jun Zhao, Xiu-Juan Jiang and Miao Du\*

College of Chemistry and Life Science, Tianiin Normal University, Tianjin 300074, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: dumiao@public.tpt.tj.cn

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.004$  Å R factor = 0.030 wR factor = 0.082 Data-to-parameter ratio = 11.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

# Triaguabis(4-carboxy-1H-imidazole-5-carboxylato)cadmium(II) monohydrate: a three-dimensional hydrogen-bonded network

In the title neutral mononuclear complex, [Cd(H<sub>2</sub>ID-C)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>]·H<sub>2</sub>O (H<sub>2</sub>IDC is the 4-carboxy-1*H*-imidazole-5carboxylate anion,  $C_5H_3N_2O_4$ ), the Cd<sup>II</sup> atom is transcoordinated by two H<sub>2</sub>IDC ligands and three aqua molecules, displaying a distorted trigonal-bipyrimidal geometry. Interestingly, H<sub>2</sub>IDC coordinates in an N-monodentate fashion to the central Cd<sup>II</sup> atom, which is unprecedented. A threedimensional supramolecular network is generated through  $N-H\cdots O$  and  $O-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds.

Received 2 August 2005 Accepted 5 August 2005 Online 12 August 2005

### Comment

Assemblies of metal ions and organic ligands that may afford desirable covalent forces, hydrogen bonds or other cooperative interactions should lead to the formation of interesting supramolecular coordination complexes (Roesky & Andruh, 2003). Recently, an efficient N/O-donor ligand, 1H-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid (H<sub>3</sub>IDC), which possesses diverse coordination modes and the potential ability for generating hydrogen bonds, has attracted considerable attention (Lu & Ge, 2005; Wang, Cao & Bi, 2004; Liu et al., 2005). Notably, the sites and levels of deprotonation of H<sub>3</sub>IDC when coordinating to the metal centers will result in a variety of structural motifs under appropriate conditions (Liu et al., 2004; Maji et al., 2005; Wang, Gao et al., 2004). With respect to Cd<sup>II</sup>-H<sub>3</sub>IDC complexes, mononuclear (Zhang et al., 2004) and onedimensional zigzag coordination motifs have been reported (Sun et al., 2004), in which H<sub>3</sub>IDC is deprotonated to different levels and in varying positions. Here, we report the crystal structure of a new mononuclear Cd<sup>II</sup> complex [Cd(H<sub>2</sub>ID- $C_{2}(H_{2}O_{3}](H_{2}O)$ , (I), in which the H<sub>2</sub>IDC anion acts as a monodentate ligand only, and rich hydrogen-bonded interactions extend the molecular structure into a three-dimensional supramolecular architecture.



In the neutral mononuclear molecule of (I), each H<sub>3</sub>IDC ligand is mono-deprotonated at the 5-position carboxylate group, thus generating intramolecular O2-H2···O3 and



#### Figure 1

The structure of the title complex with atom labeling (anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level). The uncoordinated water molecule has been omitted for clarity, and the intramolecular hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.

 $O6-H6A\cdots O7$  hydrogen bonds between the adjacent carboxyl and carboxylate groups (Fig. 1). The Cd<sup>II</sup> center is coordinated by two N atoms of distinct H<sub>2</sub>IDC anions and three water molecules (Table 1), with two carboxyl O atoms (O5 and O1) weakly bonded to  $Cd^{II}$  [Cd···O = 2.719 (1) and 2.722 (2) Å]. The coordination sphere of  $Cd^{II}$  could be described as a distorted trigonal bipyramid, with atoms N1, N3 and O11 in the basal plane and two aqua ligands (O9 and O10) in axial positions  $[O9-Cd1-O10 = 169.57 (9)^{\circ}]$ . In all previously documented cases, the H<sub>2</sub>IDC anion always served as an N,O-bidentate ligand. Of particular interest is the fact that H<sub>2</sub>IDC in this structure uses only one N atom binding to the Cd<sup>II</sup> atom, which is unprecedented in the coordination chemistry of H<sub>3</sub>IDC and its complexes. Two related Cd<sup>II</sup> complexes of H<sub>3</sub>IDC have been reported recently. In the neutral mononuclear molecule  $[Cd(H_2IDC)_2(H_2O)_2]$  (Zhang et al., 2004), each H<sub>3</sub>IDC ligand is also mono-deprotonated, however, at the 4-position carboxylate group, and coordinates to Cd<sup>II</sup> in the common N,O-chelating fashion. Another case (Sun et al., 2004) is a one-dimensional coordination chain,  $[Cd(HIDC)(H_2O)_2]_n$ , in which both the 5-position carboxyl and the imidazole group are deprotonated, and thus each HIDC dianion uses a pair of N,O-chelating coordination sites to bind two adjacent Cd<sup>II</sup> centers. As expected, both structures are ultimately extended into three-dimensional hydrogenbonded networks. In this structure, H<sub>2</sub>IDC can provide both donor and acceptor components for hydrogen bonds. In addition both the ligand and the solvent water molecules can also participate in hydrogen-bonding interactions; as a result, rich hydrogen-bond networks are established, which link the mononuclear molecules to form a three-dimensional network (Table 2). Each mononuclear molecule is hydrogen-bonded to three adjacent subunits via two single N2-H2A···O5<sup>i</sup> and a pair of N4-H4A...O8<sup>ii</sup> interactions [synthon  $R_2^2(10)$  (Bernstein et al., 1995)] between the carboxylate and imidazole groups, affording a two-dimensional (6,3)-sheet (Fig. 2; symmetry codes as in Table 2). Furthermore, these sheets are linked via interlayer O9-H9B···O8<sup>iv</sup>, O10-H10A···O7<sup>v</sup> and  $O10-H10B\cdots O4^{vi}$  bonds between the axial agua ligands and carboxylate O atoms, resulting in a three-dimensional architecture. There also exist intermolecular O9-H9A...O12<sup>iii</sup>

and  $O11 - H11B \cdots O12^{vii}$  bonds between the coordinated and uncoordinated water molecules, and  $O12-H12A\cdots O2^{viii}$  and  $O12-H12B\cdots O3^{ix}$  interactions between the uncoordinated water and carboxyl/carboxylate O atoms, which may further stabilize the three-dimensional crystal packing. Examination of this structure with PLATON (Spek, 2003) reveals no solvent-accessible voids in the unit cell.

### **Experimental**

A mixture of Cd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (30 mg, 0.1 mmol), 1H-imidazole-4,5dicarboxylic acid (16 mg, 0.1 mmol), 2,5-bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole (22 mg, 0.1 mmol) and triethylamine (0.2 ml) in water (10 ml) was sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel (20 ml) and heated at 413 K for 5 d. Upon cooling to room temperature at a rate of 5 K h<sup>-1</sup>, pale-yellow block-shaped crystals were produced in 61% yield (15 mg). IR (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3229 (b), 1600 (vs), 1547 (vs), 1387 (vs), 1230 (m), 1059 (w), 1021(w), 829 (w), 772 (s), 694 (s), 522 (w).

Crystal data	
$[Cd(C_5H_3N_2O_4)_2(H_2O_3] \cdot H_2O$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.989 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 494.66$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 4160
a = 6.8945 (9)  Å	reflections
b = 17.432 (2) Å	$\theta = 2.3 - 28.0^{\circ}$
c = 13.8726 (19)  Å	$\mu = 1.40 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 97.771 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 1652.0 (4) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, pale yellow
Z = 4	$0.38 \times 0.16 \times 0.10 \ \mathrm{mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker APEX-II CCD area-	2913 independent reflections
detector diffractometer	2547 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.024$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$
$T_{\min} = 0.602, \ T_{\max} = 0.870$	$k = -20 \rightarrow 20$
9284 measured reflections	$l = -16 \rightarrow 15$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.06P)^2]$

$\begin{split} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] &= 0.030 & w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.06P)^2] \\ wR(F^2) &= 0.082 & where P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ S &= 1.05 & (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002 \\ 2913 \text{ reflections} & \Delta\rho_{max} = 1.08 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ 254 \text{ parameters} & \Delta\rho_{min} = -0.63 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \end{split}$	Refinement on F <sup>2</sup>	H-atom parameters constrain
$wR(F^2) = 0.082$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $S = 1.05$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ 2913 reflections $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.08 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$ 254 parameters $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.63 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$	$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2 (F_0^2) + (0.06P)^2]$
$\begin{array}{ll} S = 1.05 & (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002 \\ 2913 \ {\rm reflections} & \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 1.08 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ 254 \ {\rm parameters} & \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.63 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$	$wR(F^2) = 0.082$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2913 reflections $\Delta \rho_{max} = 1.08 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 254 parameters $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.63 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$	S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$
254 parameters $\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.63 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$	2913 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.08 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	254 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.63 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cd1-N3	2.257 (2)	O3-C5	1.285 (3)
Cd1-N1	2.261 (2)	O4-C5	1.230 (4)
Cd1-O9	2.276 (2)	O5-C8	1.226 (4)
Cd1-O10	2.288 (3)	O6-C8	1.286 (4)
Cd1-O11	2.374 (2)	O7-C10	1.262 (3)
O1-C3	1.202 (4)	O8-C10	1.236 (3)
O2-C3	1.298 (4)		
N3-Cd1-N1	139.63 (8)	N1-Cd1-O11	84.45 (7)
N3-Cd1-O9	92.57 (8)	O9-Cd1-O11	87.41 (9)
N1-Cd1-O9	89.93 (8)	O10-Cd1-O11	82.35 (10)
N3-Cd1-O10	93.41 (8)	O1-C3-O2	123.1 (3)
N1-Cd1-O10	91.04 (8)	O4-C5-O3	125.5 (3)
O9-Cd1-O10	169.57 (9)	O5-C8-O6	122.5 (2)
N3-Cd1-O11	135.91 (7)	O8-C10-O7	125.1 (3)

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
O2−H2···O3	0.82	1.64	2.458 (3)	179
O6−H6A…O7	0.82	1.63	2.450 (3)	178
$N2-H2A\cdots O5^{i}$	0.86	2.16	2.998 (3)	164
N4-H4A···O8 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86	1.97	2.807 (3)	164
$O9-H9A\cdots O12^{iii}$	0.85	1.85	2.703 (3)	178
$O9-H9B\cdots O8^{iv}$	0.85	1.94	2.756 (3)	160
$O10-H10A\cdots O7^{v}$	0.85	2.00	2.851 (3)	172
$O10-H10B\cdots O4^{vi}$	0.85	1.91	2.727 (3)	160
$O11 - H11B \cdots O12^{vii}$	0.85	1.95	2.793 (3)	169
$O12-H12A\cdots O2^{viii}$	0.85	2.09	2.929 (3)	170
$O12-H12B\cdots O3^{ix}$	0.85	1.98	2.833 (3)	179

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii) -x - 1, -y + 2, -z; (iii) x, y, z - 1; (iv) -x, -y + 2, -z; (v) -x,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vi) -x,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii) x,  $-y + \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (viii) x + 1, y, z + 1; (ix) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Although all H atoms were visible in difference maps, they were finally placed in geometrically calculated positions with C–H = 0.93 Å, N–H = 0.86 Å, and O–H = 0.82 Å for carboxyl groups and 0.85 Å for water molecules, and included in the final refinement in the riding model approximation, with displacement parameters derived from their parent atoms  $[U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C,N,O_{water}})$  and  $1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm O}_{\rm COOH})]$ . The highest electron-density peak is located 0.97 Å from atom Cd1.

Data collection: *APEX-II* (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: *APEX-II* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2001) and *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Berndt, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20401012), the Key Project of Tianjin Natural Science Foundation (No. 043804111) and Tianjin Normal University.

#### References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Brandenburg, K. & Berndt, M. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Version 2.1c. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2001). SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2003). APEX-II. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.



#### Figure 2

A perspective view of the two-dimensional hydrogen-bonded sheet extending along the (110) plane. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

- Liu, J.-W., Gao, S., Huo, L.-H., Gu, C.-S., Zhao, H. & Zhao, J.-G. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m1697–m1699.
- Liu, Y., Kravtsov, V., Walsh, R. D., Poddar, P., Srikanth, H. & Eddaoudi, M. (2005). Chem. Commun. pp. 2436–2438.
- Lu, J. Y. & Ge, Z.-H. (2005). Inorg. Chem. Acta. 358, 828-833.
- Maji, T. K., Mostafa, G., Chang, H.-C. & Kitagawa, S. (2005). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 2436–2438.
- Roesky, H. W. & Andruh, M. (2003). Coord. Chem. Rev. 236, 91-119.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Sun, Y.-Q., Zhang, J. & Yang, G.-Y. (2004). Acta Cryst. C60, m590-m591.
- Wang, C.-F., Gao, E.-Q., He, Z. & Yan, C.-H. (2004). Chem. Commun. pp. 720–721.
- Wang, Y.-L., Cao, R. & Bi, W.-H. (2004). Acta Cryst. C60, m609-m611.
- Zhang, X.-M., Fang, R.-Q., Wu, H.-S. & Ng, S. W. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m12m13.